

Sansar Chandra personally available on
Tel. No. ~~2885-1158, 2896-7038~~ only on Monday &
Thursday between 11 A.M. to 1.00 P.M. only.

For further enquiries
please contact:-

Sansar Chandra, Chairman
Bharatiya Gurukul
63B, JD Block,
Pitam Pura, Delhi-88
Tel. ~~2885-1158, 2896-7038~~

(M) 9211013755

(M) 9958829331

An Indian by Birth,
Citizen of Universe by temperament.
Email Sansar.chandra@gmail.com

Born
30 Oct
1940.

The correct Economic System: Capitalism without personal
heritage of property.

From time immemorial problems have been arising. Efforts have been made to solve them. In this book my endeavour is to find the actual reasons of economic problems and make some comments upon them. We all know that all the activities and movements of the universe are controlled by GOD. Scientists have named it 'NATURE'. The rules of nature are universal, omnipresent and permanent. All the philosophies, beliefs, traditions, trends, constitution, laws and the society are created according to them (the rules of nature). In comparison to the universe, a human being's existence and life span are negligible. A human being, and therefore, the whole human society, can never be happy on going against the rules of nature. Perceiving the rules of nature in an enlightened manner and then organizing our human society according to them could only make an ideal, happy and contented society.

In this second dimension of Natural Philosophy, "The Artha Darshan" (The Philosophy of Economics), the four natural principles of the system of the society, have been looked upon critically and economic structure of the human society has been presented. If the readers want to know these principles in detail, they shall have to comprehend the first dimension of the natural philosophy - "The Dharam Darshan" (The Philosophy of Religion). To mould human beings according to the constantly evolving Nature, there are four major principles. They only can be the basic principles of the economic structure of the society. The four basic principles of the economic system of the society are the following:

Sansar Chandra on mobiles

8826721028, 8800901448.

Present Phones: 23 Feb 2021. 1.

1. Equal Physical Opportunities of Development
2. Developing in accordance with Temperament
3. Survival of the Fittest in the struggle for life
4. Altruism/Good Samaritanism

1. The Principle of Equal Physical Opportunities of Development

To learn the ways of nature with the object of organizing the society if we look around, we find that the climate in a certain geographical area is alike. The physical features like cold, heat, rain and wind are available to each and every person. By this, we can understand that Nature gives equal physical opportunities of developing themselves to all the beings. Thus the first principle of the construction of the society is "The Equal Physical Opportunities of Development".

2. The Principle of Developing in accordance with Temperament

By getting equal physical opportunities of development, the earth on which a lemon seed lies, a lemon tree germinates and where there is a mango seed, a mango tree sprouts. Nature has given different tastes and uses to the lemon and the mango. So this is manifest that on getting equal physical opportunities of development, all the human beings would develop according to their inherent qualities. Each individual is different from the other. On getting equal opportunities also they would develop in various spheres according to their inherent qualities and standards. The speed, limit and direction of their development or destruction shall vary according to their nature (comprising of their personal temperament and their talent). Thus the second principle of the organization of the society is "Developing in accordance with the Personal Temperament".

The right to personal possession of property is consistent but the right to inheriting the property is inconsistent with the established two principles –

- (i) Equal Physical Opportunities of Development and
- (ii) Developing in according with Temperament

In the beginning of his existence the man lived in forests like other animals. His mind grew gradually. With the change of time due to his ignorance about nature, an ego developed in him. Due to this spirit of ego, he thought. I am something. As time passed, due to the spirit of "I", the passion of my weapons, my prey, my forest, my property, my wife, my children, my society, took root in him.

In the beginning when the men hunted animals for food, started taming animals and then growing crops, the kill, the returns from cattle and the fields and the crops were the common possession of all the society, i.e., the property was in public possession. There was no question of the transference of the property because men die but the society does not. But without exception, some people were laborious and others lazy. With the objective that all people get the benefit according to their work and all be prompted to work, all the arable cropland was distributed into fields and they were assumed to be in the possession of different families or persons and these families or persons became the masters of these fields. Thus first the family right and later the personal right to property came in practice.

A man and his wife are life partners. So on one's death, the other counterpart should naturally possess all the other partner's property. But there are such idle children who are in no way helpful in their sire's earning of property. Their inheriting the wealth and property is not just. For example, kings' feudal lords', rich businessmen's, big farm owners' and industrialists' children.

Such idle children were there in earlier times too but after the Industrial Revolution with the expansion of capitalism, the number of such idle children has increased to a very great number who without cooperating with their parents in the earning of the property, get a lot of wealth and property due to being in blood or any other relation due to the unjustifiable laws which are based on the wrong philosophy of inheritance. This entangled state of affairs has been caused because the philosophers could not see the difference in the contrasting concepts of 'equal physical opportunities' and 'equal physical rights' and therefore making no difference between 'the right to property' and 'the right to inherit the property'.

This is clear that in the Stone Age only, unknowingly, the philosophers committed the blunder of not abolishing heirship and inheritance. So, an anti-nature and oppressive philosophy came into existence. Unjust codes of law of heirship were made and this wrong trend started its vicious circle in every field of the society. This wrong practice has been going on since the Stone Age up to the present age of civilization constantly in all the countries.

Owing to the morally wrong philosophy of 'Equal Physical Rights', the children of poorer families got less property in heirship in comparison to those of rich families. That is, they got fewer opportunities of their development. On being an adult, a rich man's child could speed forward in the race of life while a poor man's child had to remain in a retarded position due to not getting any wealth and property in heirship. This is conspicuous that a child is not responsible for his parents' poverty. Then the question is, why are they not given equal opportunities of development by giving equal property as a member of the society? It is obvious that the principle of persona heirship of property is based on a wrong principle of 'equal rights'. According to this principle, every child gets morally wrong claim to the property of his parents on their death while he may be a worthy or worthless

person. This principle is against the first principle of the organization of the society – “Equal Physical Opportunities of Development” - and so is logically wrong.

3. The Principle of the Survival of the Fittest

The first natural principle for the organization of the society, i.e., Equal Physical Opportunities of Development and the second, i.e., Development in accordance with Temperament, are though clearly logical yet it is surprising that the philosophers and the sages have not yet realized their real importance. Any mention of these principles and how to implement them in the organization of the society is not found in any literature or philosophy. If they have been mentioned in a round about way, no mention has been made that the personal heirship of property is wrong. That is why horrible iniquities have taken place in the human society. A careful reading of this book will elaborate this truth. In his book “The Origin of Species”, Charles Darwin introduced the theory that only the ablest beings can live on the earth which is universally recognized now. His theory illustrates that nature is not for ‘Rise of All’, i.e., the Welfare of All. On the contrary, Nature will allow the progress of only the ablest. The ‘Rise of the Worthiest’ or the ‘Rise of the Ablest’ is the nature’s will. Human beings shall have to cope with nature for a happy and contented life. If his son is a bad son and daughter a bad daughter, then sincere human being who lives in harmony with nature should will his property to the abler children of the society instead of willing it to his unworthy offsprings. In 1776, Adam Smith published his article “Wealth of Nations” which was based on the principle of the Survival of the Fittest”. This article explained the Philosophy of Modern Capitalism which was an outcome of Industrial Revolution.

The foundation of the Philosophy of Capitalism and its secret

After the Industrial Revolution set in, in occidental countries Capitalism came into being and thrived. Based on no sound reasoning, the accumulating of infinite property under capitalism was horrible. To cover its ugly appearance, a mask was required, i.e., the capitalists wanted a moral sanction for their infinite collection of Capital. In a hurry to get moral support, the capitalists, the intelligentsia which thrived on their funds, declared that the capitalism is the outcome of the conflict in which the fittest survive.

However, capitalism is not something new for Indian and other ancient civilizations of the world. Actually capitalism goes with the first two natural principles, i.e., (i) Equal Physical Opportunities and (ii) Developing according to Temperament. On getting equal opportunity, a person may earn as much money as he likes on the basis of his personal ability, talent, stamina, labour and intelligence – this he must be granted. But since the Stone Age, the importance of the first natural principle “equal physical opportunities” was not realized but they established a wrong principle of ‘personal right to inherit the property’, which is based on the wrong philosophy of ‘equal physical rights’. This morally vicious philosophy was taken up as it is in capitalism which was a newborn issue of the Industrial Revolution. Capitalism can be a socially just and model philosophy in elaboration with the first two natural principles only if the provision of personal right of inheritance of property is banned. So according to natural philosophy, the concept of Economy is “Capitalism without the right to inheritance of property”. Due to not understanding this secret, three kinds of capitalistic theories are in practice in the society which are being defined for the first time. All the three capitalisms show the capitalists’ view towards the society and their recognition of the right to inheritance of property.

6

1. Devilish Capitalism - is based on the wrong theory of equal physical rights.

Those who believe in the wrong theory of equal physical rights do not care for the welfare of the society and through anti-social ways like smuggling, dacoity, adulteration and black marketing and are engaged in accumulating infinite wealth. They are cruel and arrogant by temperament and indulging in luxuries is their prime concern. They think they are using their right to hand over the property while they distribute it to their issues and relatives. For example, Ravan, Kansa and the money lender Shylock of The Merchant of Venice.

2. Godly Capitalism - is correct as it tallies with the natural principle Equal Physical Opportunities. Those who believe in this principle of equal physical opportunities, earn wealth by their unique knowledge of science, their talent and art and skill of the highest caliber. They rear their children through a sound code of conduct. They would give them their whole-hearted love till they become adults. They make the best arrangements for their education, treatment and entertainment. But they do not hand over their earnings to them. They know their duty towards the society. So they will their property to persons and institutions engaged in social service. For example, Emperor Harsha Vardhan, Alfred Noble who willed his property for five Noble Prizes, Fredrick Angels who helped Karl Marx, Bhamashah who opened up his treasures for Maharana Pratap to raise an army and the great Mathematician Philosopher of this century, Bertrand Russel, Dr. S.C. Mehta of Jaipur, Ex-Director in Medicine & Health Department who gave all their earned possessions for the sake of the society. They are the members of this enlightened trail.

3. The confused capitalism - is the one which cannot differentiate between the contrasting implications of 'Equal Physical Rights' and 'Equal Physical Opportunities'. There are many a person who earned the wealth by their own labour and capabilities but due to the wrong notions are willing to will all their possessions to their children only. If such people are made to understand peacefully and proper suggestions are given to them, they would definitely grant a big portion of their property for the sake of the service of the society.

Due to getting a lot of wealth and property as an heir, the children of such people mostly get spoilt. The unearned money inherited instead of benefiting these children acts like poison for them and they become poisonous beings and life long they eject poison in the society. Such children may get all the physical comforts and luxuries of life but they get no contentment in life. They are obsessed with filthy thoughts and disperse filth all around them. The aim of this second part of the natural philosophy is to clear up the confusion from the minds of capital owners.

Explanation of Equal Opportunities of Development - What is the meaning of Equal Opportunities of Development? The answer is that from the period of being in the womb to upto his/her death, every citizen must get opportunities of developing his/her capabilities, this is the society of our imagination.

So, in pregnancy a woman must get proper nutritious diet and other mental and physical conveniences, medical aid at childbirth. A child must get pre-natal and anti-natal medical aid. Later on, each and every child must get good nutritious diet, education and medical facility. On his growing up the government must guarantee an employment for him according to his abilities. This is our wish for the society.

This imagination is idealistic but not very difficult to achieve. If we suppose that the State and other institutions do their duties sincerely and create a society where all the children get equal opportunities of their development, then also a wide gap remains and that gap is that when the children of the citizens of the rich class and the poor class grow the former will inherit property from their parents but the later might get nothing or even the responsibility of having to pay the debt. The sons of the rich shall become the masters of fields, industries, mines and businesses in the beginning of their career while the children of the poor shall work for the former and get exploited. Thus these children are not getting equal opportunities of their development. So, it is true that the right of heirship is against the natural principle "Equal Opportunities of Development". We all know that most of the social vices – corruption, dishonesty, deception, dowry, bribery, theft, dacoity, smuggling, are economic vices. Those who have a lot of property which they can consume for generations are also engaged in these vices. On thinking deeply about it you will find that the origin of all the economic vices is in the tendency of collection of infinite wealth and property. Some people's piling up finances for the luxuries of their descendents fill the life of a great majority of people with poverty, starvation, unemployment, injustice, oppression, crime and exploitation and makes the financial inequalities horrible. Thus (the philosophy of) personal heirship is wrong as it is the actual reason of economic malpractices.

Natural principle of Equal Physical Opportunities Vs the man-made principle of heirship based on Equal Physical Rights –

The principle of heirship of property to one's descendents is not in harmony with the natural principle – Equal Physical Opportunities. So nature has ever been acting against it. We learn from history that none of the order of state or wealthy family has ever been stable. Then why is the misconception among the millionaires of

today that their coming generation shall not be poor even after inheriting a lot of wealth and property? In India, an old saying goes –

If your child is on the right path, why accumulate wealth and if he is on a wrong path, why then?

A good, sincere and laborious offspring has no need for the wealth accumulated by his ancestors and an idle issue would waste the money by his extravagant spending. So we have to say to all the wealthy people that they must understand that transferring all the wealth to their issues is against the natural principles – Equal Physical Opportunities and so it is unnatural, anti-social, inhuman, morally wrong and worth being discarded. We must realize that people's belief that their children are their heirs and none other is totally wrong. We must think in the direction of changing this belief. But before that as a first step, the State shall have to guarantee Equal Physical Opportunities because people start accumulating wealth due to the fear of financial crisis and untimely death. By such declaration of the State, the majority of the people will be inclined towards accepting the arrangement of abolishing personal heirship and the wrong trend and legal recognition of personal heirship will come to an end.

PERSONAL HEIRSHIP BASED ON EQUAL PHYSICAL RIGHT V/S SOCIAL HEIRSHIP BASED ON EQUAL OPPORTUNITIES

The human race has developed due to social heirship, i.e., social heritage. We advance on the basis of our previous knowledge and experience. As a society is benefited by a person's knowledge and experiences in the same way, the property he leaves behind must benefit the whole society. So we want that the principle of social heritage must be recognized by abolishing or limiting personal heirship.

DIFFERENCE BETWEEN PHYSICAL PLEASURE AND MENTAL SATISFACTION

Physical pleasures are limited. A person can eat up to a limit, wear clothes and live in a fine building and consume things appealing to his senses. In mental satisfaction, the satisfaction of accumulating wealth is tolerable up to a limit, but after going out of that boundary line, it becomes poisonous. When he is mad after accumulation of wealth, he knows no limits for the sum he must accumulate. He who is the wealthiest in the neighbourhood, wants to be the wealthiest within the village. If he wins that post, he wishes to be wealthier than the city dwellers. By degrees he wants to be the wealthiest in his State, then in his country, and then one within the world. Thus he ceases to be who can enjoy life and becomes a ghost with one singular spirit.

As described in the epic Ramayan, very learned, strong, powerful and action loving Ravana went against this rule of nature to accumulate boundless wealth. His tendency to bring all the gold and beautiful women under his roof pushed him to sinning. His destruction was the consequences of his actions is known by all within India. Rama too was banished due to the conflict of heritage. In the epic Mahabharata, the Kauravas wanted the whole of the kingdom as their heirship (heritage). Even an Indian layman knows that this lead to the war – Mahabharat. If the money allowed for handing over to the descendants is limited by law and social custom, the mad fury of accumulating wealth present in the wealthy will melt by itself.

Then besides wealth, he will pay attention to his wife, children, relatives, friends, co-workers and society. He will feel that as a human being, his life must aim at being useful to the society and he must not exploit it.

DIFFERENCE BETWEEN AFFECTION AND ATTACHMENT

All the living creatures feel love and affection for their offsprings. Looking after them and helping them to grow into independent beings is affection. But trying to facilitate things for them even after they have grown up is attachment. In the epic Mahabharata when Dhritrashtra knew about Duryodhan's mal-practices, he kept mum thinking that he made materialistic gains in this way. This forbearing of the father from mentioning his son's flaws and mistakes led the way to the battle of Mahabharat in which the Kaurav dynasty met its fall. Today also the believers of Devilish Capitalism in a state of excessive attachment for their children are engaged in the job of piling up wealth so that they may pass maximum pile of wealth to their offsprings.

THE WISH TO HANDING OVER TO DESCENDANTS IS THE ROOT CAUSE OF THE DOWRY SYSTEM

The terrible mal-practice like the dowry system came into existence because of the wrong philosophy of handing over to descendants. Immobile property in a person's custody in itself is a wrong philosophy. Because on a man's death his immobile property is transferred to his sons who live with him only and help in farming and business, etc. The daughter, after her marriage, does not stay in her father's home but in her husband's home; so it is not practicable to give her a share in the immobile property of her parental home. As giving her a share in the immobile property of her parents, she cannot make use of this property but only those who stay there can! So, it was arranged that at the time of her marriage, some mobile property was handed over to her which consisted of gold and silver ornaments, expensive clothes and other things. This property was called Dowry. Previously, giving dowry was not compulsory but optional. But gradually as the greed for property increased in the society, dowry became an essential component of marriage. Now the situation has come to such a pass

that in arranging a marriage, the qualities and flaws of the bride and the bridegroom are given secondary importance and the sum of dowry has the decisive role in fixing a marriage. If the sum of dowry has been insufficient, thousands of brides are burnt alive. Its main reason is the absurd philosophy of heirship.

HEIRSHIP V/S LOTTERY OR GAMBLING

A person does not have to work to inherit wealth. The psychological effect is the same as that of getting money without efforts like getting it in a lottery or a game of gambling. Generally the poor and the middle class people can save only one or two percent of their total income. So the effect of inheriting rupees one lakh is equal to getting the income of rupees fifty lakh or more. Such paupers who cannot save any money are more strongly affected psychologically than those who can. They cannot even imagine of leaving the sum of inheritance go. In the history of mankind, the biggest reason of murders and bloodshed has been property and fight for its inheritance/heirship.

The principle of heirship is against the principle of rebirth and "the karmafal", that every action has its reward, i.e., every action has its equal and opposite reaction. This eternal scientific truth is known as KARMAFAL, i.e., action reward principle in Indian Philosophy.

In the Indian philosophy it is believed that after a person's death, his soul takes another body. This is called 'Rebirth'. In the next life we get the reward of our good or bad deeds done in this life favourably or unfavourably. Religions other than the Hindu do not believe in Rebirth. However, if we believe in Rebirth and Action Reward Principle, we shall automatically believe that in the next life the good persons shall be lucky and the bad persons shall be unlucky. So, if heirship is abolished or limited by making laws against it, then also the good doers shall become prosperous and

lucky. According to the action-reward principle, the wrong-doers will come to be poor and unlucky. Then what is the need for heirship? It is manifest that those Hindus who argue in favour of heirship, either do not recognize the basic principles of the Hindu Religion, Rebirth and Action Reward or that they equally give fake arguments.

THE NEED OF A LAW FOR ABOLISHING OR LIMITING HEIRSHIP

In our society there are several laws against anti-social activities like smuggling, bribery, adulteration, treachery and several wrong traditions like burning sati, child marriage and dowry which may check the occurrence of these evils. There is provision of punishment by the society. We saw by abstract reasoning that the principle of heirship is un-natural, unsocial and unwanted. So, it is the call of intellect, call of justice and demand of the time, that this principle of heirship which has been in practice since the Stone Age and which is a product of cruel and oppressing economic philosophy, is challenged and this wrong socially sanctioned trend is legally banned.

LAW FOR TERMINATING PERSONAL HEIRSHIP SHOULD BE MADE AFTER CANCELLING (i) THE LAND CEILING, (ii) THE SALES TAX AND (iii) THE INCOME TAX RULES

Some members of the intelligentsia question that when the laws of land, ceiling, sales tax and income tax are not complied with throughout the world due to corruption and laxity in following the law and order, how can we say that the law limiting or terminating heirship shall be beneficial? Our answer to them is that the root of all the economic crimes is the supposition that one must accumulate wealth for his generations to come. If we arrange to discard the laws of land ceiling, sales tax and income tax and then terminate the law of heirship and set execution as the punishment

for breaking this law, then the unhealthy recognition of heirship shall be challenged.

But before doing this, the State shall have to guarantee every child equal physical opportunities, i.e., the full economic responsibilities shall have to be borne by the Government. The children must stay with their parents, but their economic status should not be an obstacle in the equal opportunities of development – the government shall have to make such arrangement. In the ideal management all the economic needs of the children like Education, Medical Care, Food and Clothing shall be fulfilled by the government. For a beginning, the State should start an education system where no fees be charged from the children. The revenue should be arranged by putting tax on imports, exports and industries according to their production capacity.

THE ILL EFFECTS OF PERSONAL HEIRSHIP

Since the Stone Age, as personal heirship was legally recognized, the ignorant laymen have sacrificed social welfare on the altar of family and economic benefits. In this system of economy, people accumulate wealth not only to fulfill the needs of the family but also for the sake of luxuries for their offsprings. On their growing up, granting them not only for their needs but also for their luxuries is an evil social trend.

The unhealthy tendency to accumulate boundless wealth started since the Vedic Era only due to the recognition of personal heirship. In Manusmriti, which was the Hindu Code of Law, we find the description of the system of punishments to be given on the economic sins like cheating and dishonesty. The reason of the banishment of Rama and the battle of Mahabharat was heirship only. There have been thousands of bloody wars and manslaughters due to this personal heirship only.

THE CORRUPT ECONOMIC PHILOSOPHY OF PERSONAL HEIRSHIP OF PROPERTY IS THE ROOT CAUSE OF CORRUPTION

The very idea of personal heirship is straying from the rules prescribed by nature. This is the cause behind the idea of boundless accumulation of wealth. Corrupt ideas led to corrupt conduct and then corruption originated.

For four thousand years efforts have been made to diminish boundless accumulation of wealth and the corruption originated due to this tendency. The detailed descriptions of these efforts are found in Manusmriti and "Economics" written by Kautilya, and the books of Plato and Aristotle. The religious leaders, preachers, sants and sages of every time and every country have called it bad. Several kings tried to ban it legally but they did not succeed in controlling corruption. Its sole reason is that due to the slip of the philosophers since the Stone Age to the Modern Age, personal heritage of property has been socially and legally recognized.

NATURALISM: FREE TRADE AND A FULL STOP ON PERSONAL HEIRSHIP

The principle of free trade goes with the first two principles of Natural Philosophy. Not only in the Capitalist but also in the Communist countries, wrong laws have been made permitting personal heirship which are unfair to the masses. These laws are in opposition to the first natural principle "Equal Opportunities of Development". To maintain the natural principle, free trade has to be made a part of the system of Economy and the right to Personal Heirship has to be stopped. Our suggestion is that under the provision of free trade a person must earn quite a lot and deposit the money and the State should exercise no power on the quantity of deposition. But on his death the money should be inherited not by his own children but all the children of the society. A man may

will his property as to how the sum is to be spent on the children of the society. The property left without a will should be taken up by the SDPO and be spent on the employment of the worthy youth of the society and on the education, medical aid and nutrition of the children of the society. This concept can be named "Social Heritage". On reaching adulthood, those children who want to set up an industry or business may take the capital on business conditions from banks and financial institutions like share markets, etc., and earn according to their interest and talent.

COMPETITIVE CO-OPERATION

In a society based on naturalism, i.e., with free trade and without personal heirship, a rivalry will develop in the economic field. This feeling is latent in man today due to wrong economic philosophies in practice. When this feeling of competition is woken up, a person in the economic field will work with a spirit of such a player who in his attempt to excel, inspires others for better game. Competition will initiate a person to give better products and services on a lower rate. Thus he will work more while his profits will be limited. Then a person, after accumulating wealth up to a limit, will feel like co-operating other worthy people of the society in earning wealth and property. Competitive cooperation is an eternal rule of nature. Due to this rule ecological balance is maintained.

The seasons come and go in their fixed order and the wheel of creation keeps rolling. Here we consider it necessary to indicate that the rule of competitive cooperation has been mentioned to give a clue. Thousands of books can be written on this topic by Research Scholars and other learned men.

Philosophy is the distillate of entire human knowledge. In the Bhashya (commentary) of natural philosophy, its symbols can be explained.

THE FIRST STEP TOWARDS NATURALISM - ACCEPTING FREE TRADE AND DETERMINING UPPER LIMIT OF PERSONAL HEIRSHIP THROUGH REFERANDUM

Though our objective is to make laws in favour of social heritage in the place of personal heirship, yet those offsprings of his, relatives, colleagues and friends of his who helped him in earning the wealth up to some extent have the right to his property in proportion to their cooperation in earning it, if he could give no return to them in his lifetime. To calculate these things is a complex and difficult work. So, to facilitate calculation we presume that those who helped him in earning the property and did not get any return in cash or kind, must get a portion of his wealth in proportion to their cooperation to him. Through referendum or some other positive process, the society can determine the highest limit of personal heirship of property. Therefore, as a first step of economic revolution, the enlightened people of all the classes must organize themselves to start a movement to determine the upper limit of personal heirship to property. We must clearly understand that the present system of democracy is actually capitalism. So we must not expect any good from the Parliament chosen by the system of counting votes (the electorate in which are bribed and wherein false voting is no news).

In the economy of free trade, the devaluation of currency should not affect the upper limit of wealth allowed in heirship by general voting and so any quantity of gold can be fixed as the base. For example, if by general voting one kilogram of gold is fixed as the highest limit of wealth allowed for heirship, it would mean that throughout his life one person cannot get in heirship any more wealth and property than the cost of one kilogram of gold. If his parents have more property than this, they are at full liberty to spend it as they wish.

If they like they can invest it in a socially useful work within their lifetime or will it for the same purpose. All the law breakers should be severely punished by law. Our suggestion is that all the property of the law breakers should be confiscated and distributed among those who helped the law by giving this information secretly to the government. Along with making this law there should be tremendous publicity by all the means about the vices caused by personal heirship of boundless property, especially the moneyed people and their offsprings. Here it is necessary to mention that for the last four thousand years attempts are being made to make the human race understand the vices of boundless accumulation of property but little success has been achieved. So we must accept now that only by legally limiting the personal heirship of property, a start to eradicate this social vice can be made.

LAW AND THE USEFULNESS OF PUBLICITY

Constitution of a nation is the constitution of its people. Hence to end a wrong tradition, first a movement against it should be started to create public opinion. Then a law against it should be made as was done in the case of sati culture, child marriage, dowry system, etc. After the law is made, the objectives should be tremendously propagated so that a general consensus may rise in favour of the law. If only law is made and no stir is created, the law will be as good as not made. As soon as the law is made, a vigorous publicity among the people about its propriety only makes it effective in the society. But if without making a law only propaganda is made against a practice or a tradition, then it is not likely to be very effective in this system wherein corruption is a component. So for the success of this campaign, first the procedures and the codes of the law must be constituted and then the propriety of the law should be fervently communicated so that a general consensus in favour of the law against personal heirship of property should rise. Then only this campaign shall succeed.

THE NEW FORM OF DOWRY AND HEIRSHIP IN THE SYSTEM OF NATURALISM

When the law, limiting the right to heirship shall be made, the people at the time of their daughter's marriage will give her the heirship of her right instead of dowry. The girl's parents should deposit the cash of her right in a bank with the condition that her in-laws are barred from drawing it and the girl may get throughout her life the interest on that capital. On that girl's death, this capital must go to her children with the condition that they shall always keep the capital amount in the bank and spend only the interest. The sons must get limited heirship of immobile property. This will put a check on the incidents of bride burning.

SECOND STEP TOWARDS NATURALISM: ELIMINATION OF PERSONAL HEIRSHIP OF PROPERTY

A series of revolutionary changes will set in when law will be made permitting free trade and social heirship and banning personal heirship of property. But before making this law the government will have to assure that on the untimely death of any one person, the adult and able members of the family should be given employment and if there is no one worth being employed then the financial maintenance of the family and the education of his children shall be borne by the government. This is a condition essential to be agreed to for the enforcement of "Social Heirship".

THE NATURAL FORM OF OWNERSHIP OF PROPERTY: IMMOBILE PROPERTY BE TRANSFERRED TO THE SOCIETY AND MOBILE TO S.D.P.O.

When the law against personal heirship is made - those who wish to pass on property to their children keeping it a secret from the society - will not like to keep immobile property. In that situation,

the government may sell its mobile property like banks, business establishments and the means of production such as, Railways, Post and Telegraph, shares of factories and industries to the public and the money received from the selling of these things should be invested in making property like Dams, Canals, Wells, Tanks, Bridges, Buildings, Houses and Roads by S.D.P.O. according to the needs of the country. If citizens want to sell off their property, the SDPO should buy it and give on rent houses and fields for agriculture. Through tenders people can get houses and fields whole of their lives. If one of the spouses dies, the other shall automatically get this land of field on rent. But his grown up children shall have to take a house or field on rent by gathering the money through their labour. To initiate the people to look after their houses or farmlands properly, the SDPO shall have to keep a provision under which if a person returns his rented property to the SDPO within his lifetime, he must get some benefit out of its increased rent. If the rent is lessened, the previous tenant must compensate for it. By this arrangement the rented immobile property shall be looked after properly.

Indian philosophies of life consider the world an inn (a hotel) where a person comes like a traveler and then goes away. To live in a hotel a passenger takes a room on rent. He does not buy it. Then in the world why should a person take the ownership of immobile property? Society is immobile but man is mobile. The society does not die but man does. So, naturally immobile property should be in the ownership of such man. The present form in which both kinds of properties are owned by individuals came into existence due to the corrupt philosophy of Personal Heirship. As soon as the philosophy of social heirship will start, i.e., on a person's death his property shall be spent on the development of all the children of the society; gradually all the immobile property shall be owned by the SDPO and all the mobile property shall be owned by people only.

AS SOON AS THE SDPO ACQUIRES SO MUCH WEALTH THAT ITS RENT MAY FULFILL ALL THE NEEDS OF THE COUNTRY, ALL THE TAXES SHOULD BE ABOLISHED

On his death whatever mobile and immobile property is left by a person, it should be sold away and its returns should be spent on the education and medical treatment of all the children of the society. On growing up, if the youth want to set up industries or business then they must borrow money from trading and financing institutes and share markets and then earn according to their interest and talent.

THIRD STEP TOWARDS NATURALISM: ESTABLISHMENT OF NATURALIST GOVERNMENTS IN ALL THE NATIONS OF THE WORLD

The nature has not made nations. The passion for accumulating boundless quantities of wealth developed because of the wrong philosophy of heirship. That is why the greedy capitalists through conspiracy drew lines on mother earth's body impetuously and created separate nations. To outline a country's geographical, historical, racial, religious, castes, colours, languages, and cultural differences were cited as reason.

It is surprising that all the animals and birds can go from one nation to another without hesitation and live there. But if a human being wants to go from one nation to another, he is required to obtain a passport and a visa and he cannot live there permanently as animals can. If a citizen of India, Pakistan or Bangladesh wishes to settle in America, Australia or Russia, then he shall have to meet numerous legal obstacles. If there are no bans on birds and animals, why are they on mankind? We fearlessly declare that as these bans are unnatural, so they are wrong. Due to these unnatural bans is a lot of difference in the density of population

among various countries of the world which will be clear by the following table:

Name of Country	Area, its size in comparison with India	Population in Crores	Density of population (No. of people per Sq. Mile)
India	If its size is (1)	80	200
Brazil	1 x 3	1	1
Australia	1 x 3	1	1
Canada	1 x 2	2	2.5
USA	1 x 3	20	20
Russia	1 x 7	20	4

It is apparent that in India the density of population is 200 people per square mile while in America it is 20, 4 in the U.S.S.R., 2 in Canada and 1 in Brazil and Australia, then an Indian will get the natural resources less proportionately. A country's civilization, culture, the speed and direction are determined by the natural resources available to its citizens. So two steps must be taken to balance this iniquity.

- (1) In India and other countries with thick density of population the aim of family planning must be lessening population. In the third part of the Natural Philosophy Kam Darshan, the philosophy of sex, a detailed description has been given.
- (2) Discussion on this topic must be held in the U.N.O. By holding discussions in the U.N.O. and other public forums the public can be awakened in this connection. We clearly

understand that until the pro status-quo Governments are dismissed and naturalist economic order is enforced by new naturalist governments and later on all the Naturalist Governments of the World form one single Government of the World, this economic mess will continue. First naturalist governments must be made in all the nations of the world and then all the nations must combine to make one naturalist Government.

If the human race succeeds in keeping the third world war away, then also fifty to one hundred years shall be taken up in making the laymen understand the propriety of naturalist economic order, so attempts should start now only. We should start movements to make naturalist governments first in developing countries and then in other countries.

At present over eighty lakh rupees every minute are being spent on ammunition throughout the world. Different nations are spending about seventy lakh of rupees on researches in various marshal techniques separately. Thus due to not being one government of the world, the people of the world are obliged to bear a loss of fifteen million of rupees per minute.

The Indian philosophers long back believed that all the world is a family. They declared "Vasudev Kutumbakam" in the Vedas. A parallel proverb popular in the rural areas of India is "Sabai bhoomi Gopal ki". It means that all the land belongs to the society. When the naturalist government based on this feeling of brotherhood will be made throughout the world, the danger of a third world war shall be done away with.

THE PRESENT TAXATION SYSTEM BASED ON ANTI-NATURAL PHILOSOPHY VS THE NATURAL SYSTEM OF NO TAXATION

Even on getting equal physical opportunities of development, all the creatures develop according to their inherent capabilities. On getting equal amount of air, water and sun, a lemon plant will grow to be smaller than a mango plant. In the same way some people have aptitude for knowledge, some for name and some for money. Those who have aptitude in earning and accumulating wealth will progress in this field. Those who have aptitude for knowledge shall grow into learned men and become thinkers. Likewise, the laborious people will engage in farming and growing crops.

In the present time, the taxation system in India is anti-nature, negative and wrong. In this way a person's income grows, the rate of taxation increases too. For example, one who earns Rs.25,000/-has to give in a tax at the rate of 10% and he who earns Rs.1,00,000/-has to pay income tax at the rate of 50%. This is an unnatural and negative way of banning boundless accumulation of wealth. As its reaction, the system of black money comes into existence which eats up the economic base of the society and makes it hollow like termite to wood. In India, the economy of black money, parallel to the white, is in action.

In the first step of naturalist economic order, a person will have the right to property but heirship (transference of property to a descendent) will be limited along with it up to the age of 21, the children's economic responsibility shall be borne by the SDPO. But the children stay with their parents. Also, whatever amount of money a person may be earning, the rate of taxation shall be the same.

In the second steps of the reorganization of socio-economic political system on the base of naturalism, people have the right to immobile property and the manner of production, i.e., factories and industries. The SDPO shall buy all the immovable property and maintain their expenditure by their rent only. The whole economic responsibility of the children shall be of the SDPO and all the taxes shall be terminated. Transference of property to descendants shall be banned. Thus by abolishing the provision of transference of property to descendants, we can abolish corruption from the society.

A BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE EFFORTS MADE BY MANKIND TO BAN BOUNDLESS ACCUMULATION OF WEALTH

- (1) Rigvedic and pre-vedic period: Since the Rigvedic period only people quarreled and fought amongst themselves for property because the vicious provision of transference of property to descendants was there. To stop them and limit them in Yajurved, it has been said:

Om Eishwasyanid sarva yat kinch jagtyan jagat, ten tyakten munjeetha ma gredha kasyavid dhanam. Yajurved 40-1.

All the living and non-living beings of this world are resided by the God. So enjoy them without feeling right about them and don't take any other person's wealth.

Other quotable quotes of post vedic era is this reference are: Kewaladho bhavati kewaladi.

To enjoy things alone is sinful. One who has wealth but does not give for others and the other who is poor (moneyless) but does not labour –

both these men should be tied to heavy stones at their necks and thrown into the sea.

Wealth can either be donated or enjoyed or destroyed. One who neither donates nor enjoys his wealth has to surrender to the third option. It implies that one who only accumulates wealth (for his children), the wealth along with his children's lives is destroyed.

But when the tendency of accumulating wealth did not come to a halt, the Indian Rishies, the great thinkers who were actually guides of the society, divided the human life into four parts. These are:

- (i) Brahmacharya Ashram: when a person should engage his time and energies in learning the higher pursuits.
- (ii) Grihastha Ashram: when a person should get married and maintain his family.
- (iii) Vanprastha Ashram: when a person should leave his house, live in forests and do some social service in whatever capacity he could do it.
- (iv) Sanyas Ashram: when a person should leave the society and lead a pious life thinking of God and improving his spiritual power through yoga.

In this partition of life of one hundred years life span a man was expected to earn only for twenty-five years during the

period of Grahastha Ashram. But later on, people started lagging in following this course of life because they intended to make money for the whole of their lives. The tendency of accumulating wealth with the passing of time, because of more violent and surrounded people's social lives with troubles, social inconsistencies, problems and tensions.

(2) Limited Heirship was in practice in the Vedic Era: At certain places the Rishis tried to make limited heirship a trend. According to it, at the time of a marriage the boy's parents gave him hut buildings, goods while the girl's parents gave her five utensils, two sets of clothing, and ration for one month. They were expected to start independently within a month. But with the change of time and circumstances the boy continued to live in his father's house because he used to work with his father on the farm. Whatever household goods the girl's father used to give her took the form of the abominable dowry system.

(3) Attempts to limit heirship by mortem feast: According to their tradition on the death of a rich person, his heirs got his property only to a limit. According to the directions of the Brahmins (the pious men and religious guides of the society), the rest of the money was distributed among the villagers or the caste members, at the time of the feast. This was called Terhin (the function on the 13th day). Some Hindus arranged this function on the 12th day also. In the long past this function was held in the case of the rich men only. The poor people had not to celebrate this function. The distortion of that wholesome tradition has

come to this pass that the wealth of the rich is not spent on this function and the poor have to arrange for this function even if they have to take loans for it.

(4) Lord Rama's attempt at setting an example against heirship: When in Lord Rama's family there was a quarrel over heirship, he willingly left the reign of Ayodhya and (solving the problem in this manner) set an ideal before all. Bharat also looked after Ayodhya as Rama's pledge with the feeling of trusteeship. But their descendents did not follow their examples. We wish Rama had made law against personal heirship.

(5) Attempt by Lord Krishna to make Duryodhan understand the property of limited heirship: Lord Krishna went to Duryodhana as a messenger of peace in order to dissuade him from fighting the battle of Mahabharata. He offered that Duryodhana should limit the Pandavas' heirship by giving them only five villages. Duryodhana's answer is well known: I shall not give even as little land as a needle's point without war. Consequently the battle of Mahabharata was fought and the decadence of Indian culture and civilization set in. This is rather surprising that those Pandavas, who had to tolerate so many troubles due to the wrong philosophy of heirship after winning the battle of Mahabharata and did not make any laws to limit the right to heirship.

(6) The Great Donor Karna: The Sun's son Karna earned a lot of wealth by his personal ability and talent. He gave worthy persons whatever they

asked for. In the history of mankind, there has been no donor greater than him. But the rich of the later eras did not follow his example of donation.

(7) Buddha's and Mahaveer's (Swamees) examples of sacrificing their kingdoms: Gautam Buddha and Mahaveer Swami were the sons of kings. Both of them were contemporaries, both of them sacrificed their kingdoms willingly and propagated their religions with the object of the welfare of the public. In Jain religion, a Aparigrah, i.e., detachment from amassing wealth has been given special importance. In the Vth part of the natural philosophy, there is a detailed description of how the so called intelligentsia which were actually supported by the capitalists of the devilish kind did not let the public pay heed to the main elements of the Budh and the Jain religions. They presented the subordinate elements before the public in a distorted manner to serve their own ends. That is why the public could never be benefited by the preachings of Gautam Buddha and Mahaveer Swami nor did they make Aparigrah a part of their life style.

(8) Plato's Dream of a Philosopher King: Twenty-five hundred years back Plato dreamt of a philosopher king. He wished that the person who rules must live the life of a philosopher. This desire has not yet been realized. Our view is that if the law for limiting heirship are made such people will come forward who shall maintain the management of the State affairs according to his

imagination. In Natural Philosophy, the concept of SDPO is the same.

- (9) Phallaces Fantastic as Plato's formula of the distribution of property: In the pre-Plato period a philosopher called Phallace lived in Chelsea of Athens. For the sake of leveling the inequalities of the distribution of wealth, he (his fellow-men) suggested that a law must be made that the boys of rich families must marry the girls of poor families only, while the girls of rich families must marry poor (moneyless) boys only. This suggestion of his shows his concern for the gaps in the society but is impracticable because law cannot force such things. In the VII part of natural philosophy we shall lay out plans of inspiring people to arrange such inter class marriages.
- (10) Example of Hazrat Mohammed Sahib: Hazrat Mohammed spent the whole of his life in simplicity. On his death he left no property. In the Islam religion Haj (pilgrimage) Roza, i.e., fasting, Namaz, i.e., prayer and Zaqat, i.e., donation to the poor are essential. But all the Muslims are not paying the fortieth portion of their income for the service of the society.
- (11) Emperor Harshavardhan's attempt of setting a tradition of all of the belongings in the Kumbha Mela (The Kumbh Fair): Harshavardhan was the last Hindu emperor of India. Every sixth year he donated all his wealth to worthy persons. As long as he lived other moneyed people of his kingdom

followed this custom but after his death this generous customs vanished.

- (12) The last of limited heirship for the feudal lords by Akbar, the Great and great King Ranjit Singh: In Aa-I-ne-Akbari there are descriptions that when any of Akbar's Army chief or feudal lords died, the royal army at once surrounded his residence and took all his wealth under their control. The feudal lord's children could not inherit any property but they were given some post according to their ability. And a generous arrangement was made for the maintenance of his wards. That is why all the army chiefs, feudal lords and their children were able and efficient people. This law made an important contribution in laying his rule in India and maintaining its high quality. But he made such arrangements only for the army chiefs and feudal lords. Akbar himself, his family line, the traders of his reign and the big farm owners remained untouched by this law.

Later on great King Ranjit Singh also implemented this law in his economic system. But as the law of personal heirship existed, their heirs got the power over their kingdoms. Consequently the next generations of the empire were idle. As other empires of the world fell because of the wrong philosophy of heirship, the same happened with Great Mughal and Sikh dynasty.

(13) Attempt to limit the heirship to property in the Bahai religion: In the second half of the nineteenth century in Iran, Mahatama Vaha Ullah synthesized Islam, Christian and Jewish (Hebrew) religions and propounded Bahai religion. With the aim of limiting heirship he recommended that the followers of the Bahai religion must distribute their property among seven kinds of heirs. These are – offsprings, wife, father, mother, brother, sisters and the teachers. But he did not give his recommendations the form of a law. He hoped that these rules will put a check on people's feeling of accumulating boundless wealth. But as the form of law was not given to his suggestion, could not be very effective.

(14) Bertrand Russel, the great Philosopher, Mathematician of England in the 20th century: The great Philosopher and Mathematician of England in 20th century was the son of an eminent Lord. He could get huge amounts of property in heirship but he discarded piles of wealth and earned his livelihood out of his own labour. He is considered to be the Gandhi, the greatest philosopher of his country (century).

(15) Lal Bahadur Shastri: India's late Prime Minister, Mr. Lal Bahadur Shastri, was in power for a long period. He held the posts of the Minister for Railways, Home Minister and Prime Minister. When he died, he had not left any wealth or property behind him. So after his death, the new Government in its very first emergency meeting, had to make arrangements for the maintenance of

his family. In this present era laden with corruption, this is a wonderful unique example.

WHY IS LAW NECESSARY TO LIMIT HARDSHIP

The religious leaders, thinkers and learned men of the world have said this thing in various forms. All the learned men agree that the accumulation of wealth after its limit becomes poison – excess of even nectar is poison. The religious leaders have been preaching to the laymen, but as we saw in the fifteen examples quoted above, no solid change came in this matter. So it is necessary that a law limiting the right of heirship is made at the earliest.

This solemn responsibility, which is a must for the welfare of the human society, is not the job of any one person, institution, religion, political party or certain nation. This is the responsibility of all the enlightened people of the whole world. So they must approve of it with all their hearts. We request all those who approve of it to still magnify their sight and see their responsibility to start a movement for the sake of making law limiting heirship. The root cause of disruptions in the world is not the gap between the rich and the poor, but the gap between the knowers and the ignorant.

By the analysis above we conclude that the present laws supporting heirship were made ignorantly but they have the effect of making the society weak and idle and are thus anti-social. Only the rich capitalists are benefited by these laws and the majority of population who wish to spend their lives within the provisions of the law with morally approved values, with a right to equality of opportunity, have to be at a loss. In Hindu code of ethics, accumulation of wealth beyond a family's requirement is not allowed. Ancient and famous book "Mani Smriti" says, "One who accumulates wealth beyond his own requirement is a thief."

Similarly, Jain religion has a golden economic principle "Aparigrah" which means 'Don't accumulate money beyond your immediate requirements'. This principle can control corruption in an effective manner but the modern people make fun of it. However, it is evident that it is impossible to find a substitute to this principle.

Our books on religion and ethics have listed ten guidelines for the organization of a person and a society. These ten common points are found in all the religions. They are similar to the ten commandments in Christianity. Dharma (religion) is nothing except an inspiration for human beings to mould themselves according to nature. Any law which is against the interest of the majority of human beings is definitely against the healthy and wholesome system of nature. The best form of government has been named Dharmrajya (Rule of Religion, i.e., the rule of Nature) in the epic of Mahabharata.

The religion (rules) of nature and the religion of mankind do not contrast with each other but they are supplementary to each other. They are two components which are complementary to each other. If they cooperate they can create a society where there is perfect adjustment and complete satisfaction to all human beings.

If a society is based on philanthropist and judicious principles, how can the illogical and unscientific law of heirship be allowed in it? This law by nurturing disparity in the distribution makes some members of the youth tyrants who exploit the majority of human beings, so it is morally improper. Sociology studies the propriety and logic of the laws in a society. Unethical laws are not only against the society but also against the nature.

We know that the cosmos is only a part of Brahma, the Creator, i.e., the nature. So, when we say "Aham Brahmaasmi" (I am God). We are displaying the internal relationship of the Creator, His creation and the human being. Can going against the rules of nature be beneficial to the human beings? The most horrible paradox of the so called ultra modern civilization of the twentieth century is that it has taken unnatural life style at its height but it has to accept defeat as a natural consequence of this unoppressible campaign. Mankind has been stupid and arrogant to consider the nature (Brahma), its slave. His ambitions took him in the outer space and gave him the pride that he asked for but the artificialities of the ultra modern civilization gave open invitation to unexpected suspicions and incalculable destruction. The warnings of the Indian thinkers have also been expressed in the works of Sorokin, Toyanbee, Dostoevesky, Gorky, Einstein and Shri Aurobindo Ghosh.

So we conclude that the laws that support heirship are unreasonable. There is need not only to apply brakes on them but also to urgently bring radical changes in them. Actually the human society will be ready to make progress only when such bourgeois, tyrannical and anti-social laws of heirship are immediately abolished. Our belief is that if any political party declares to establish a readily progressive social order, then it shall have to fearlessly demand that the laws of heirship which are anti-nature and malignantly cancerous for the welfare of the mankind, are terminated immediately.

Our message is "Citizens of the nations of the world, limit the law of transference of property to the descendents and let the law of social heirship be enforced. By doing this you shall rid yourselves of the exploiting and tyrannical fatal bindings of the present economic order". The all round development and the highest happiness of human beings and their society lies in this that we all in one voice demand that "We must be immediately rid from the

devilish grip of personal right to heirship". In the same way, any State which wishes for the progress of its society into a well civilized people must have one single point programme that the present laws of right to heirship be dethroned so that they break into pieces and see the sun of the creation of a new society rise over their grave, where men can make their place in the society on the basis of their aptitude and ability. There would not be the slightest shadow of pains, tyranny and oppression. This is the ultimate destiny of mankind.

SPIRITUALLY INSPIRED CORRECT ECONOMIC PHILOSOPHY – NATURALISM

The base of naturalist philosophy is spiritual, guided spiritually, excellent examples from the world history of five thousand years back came to view, which elaborated it. Every society is organized according to Economic Philosophy of that area and the economic philosophy only has the decisive role in directing the morality, culture and civilization of a society.

In the chief Indian Philosophies, accumulating more money than the need of the family has been described to be wrong. This point has been explained by thousands of methods in the Vedas, the Puranas, the Upnishads, the Ramayana, the Mahabharata, and the whole of ancient Indian literature and the noble litterateurs of their countries. Even in small villages in India there are thousands of proverbs. For example:

Gold is a hundred times more intoxicating than drugs. The drugs on being eaten, excite a person but by getting gold he loses his head.

If a boat is flooding with water and a home with money, the pious people will throw it away with both of their hands.

Both the economic philosophies of capitalism and communism are wrong. Capitalism includes the vice of right to heirship. So the society starts to run blindly after accumulating money. Consequently thousands of problems arise. The philosophy of communism came into existence as a reaction against vices of capitalism. But in communism also the right of heirship is granted so this is also wrong. It has actually taken the form of Government Capitalism. Here the Government plays the role of Capitalist.

So long as the wrong economic philosophies are effective in the societies, the decadence of the world, the culture, civilization and the human values will continue. Because the economic philosophy directs the moral, the culture and the civilization of the society. So, if we inwardly wish our culture and civilization to be more natural and humane, we must rid ourselves of the mental slavery of the existing unnatural economic philosophies. We must clearly know and understand this fact that as yet most of the countries of the world have got only political freedom. To get mental, cultural, philosophical and economic freedom, we shall have to break the fetters of philosophical slavery.

THE EXISTING FORM OF ECONOMY UNDER COMMUNISM WAS NOT PRESCRIBED BY KARL MARX

Karl Marx was a great philosopher of the Nineteenth Century. He wrote the world renowned book "Das Capital". Its Hindi version is available entitled "Punji". In this book he describes his search of and bad qualities of capitalism. He expounded the theory of surplus value and propounded his principles of Dialectic Materialism. He declared that capitalism will come to an end because of its own voices. He said that capitalism makes the conflict between the classes more violent. The class conflict will come to an end by a bloody revolution. On the success of this movement, the oppressed people will have the control over the state and thus communism will be established.

All this has proved to be correct. And this is also correct that he did not present a clear cut outline for the reorganized communistic society. This is not our opinion but a quotation of Lenin who was an eminent disciple of Karl Marx. Karl Marx did not outline any plan about the economic system of communistic society. The detailed economic planning was done by famous socialist thinkers and struggling leaders among which late Indian leader, Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia, was one. His book "Economics - Beyond Karl Marx" contains his ideals about economic system. It has been translated in Hindi by Omkar Sharad with the title "Artha Shastra Marx ke Aage".

Difference between Marxism and Communism

The communists knowingly created the confusion among the society that there is no difference between Marxism and Communism. Actually Marxism marked the methods of exploitation (1) in the economic sphere and (2) by the system of capitalism, while communism is a socio-political structure erected by several thinkers. After abolishing capitalism, every communist country made the rules for the organization of the society separately according to their own ideals and convenience. In the communist countries, in the present time, the organization named state, has not been eliminated and this fact verifies Marx's forecast. It is to be remembered that Marx dreamt that along with the acquiring of power by the exploited and down trodden class, when they achieve a righteous and safe status, the state should dissolve. He considered that the institution of state is based upon exploitation because it cannot allow a classless society. But it nurtures on its league with the bourgeois - both of these classes join hands to exploit and oppress the labourers and workers who are the real builders of a nation.

In the present form of communism, the Government has become the capitalist (the capital holder), while in Marx's mind was a society where there would be no state, no exploitation but a classless society in which all the individuals should be striving for their own and others' betterment. When his dream will be realized on the earth is yet in the womb of time.

In the communist countries, the establishment of a classless world society is only an ideal and a dream only. Whenever there is a clash between the economic interests of two communistic countries, they start a battle. In India also there are several kinds of communist parties. They all consider Marx's analysis of the capitalism correct. But each party makes plans of the communistic society according to its own ideals and its own ways. The difference in their ways is the real reason of disruptions among them.

FOURTH PRINCIPLE OF NATURALISM ABOUT THE ORGANISATION OF THE SOCIETY

"Sankhya Darshan" – propounded by Kapil Muni of India is an ancient philosophy of India – is the universally accepted principle of the Sankhya Philosophy. George William Fredrick Hegel (1797-1831) of Germany studied it and developed the principle of Dialecticism from it, which is a process of evolution.

In this principle, a state is called a thesis. In this, the forces against this activity are created and develop themselves. The forces in opposition are called anti-thesis. Then there is a struggle between thesis and anti-thesis. Consequently a new thing is created which is called synthesis.

The sage Karl Marx based his explanations of economic philosophy on this principle – Dialecticism. He was a high class materialist thinker, so the principle propounded by him is known as the “Dialectic Materialism”.

DIALECTIC MATERIALISM FORESEES THE DESTINATION OF ECONOMIC PHILOSOPHIES AT NATURALISM

According to sage Marx, the system of capitalism was a thesis which contained the flaw of personal heirship of property. But sage Karl Marx did not see the flaw in the system clearly and so he reacted and declared that the whole system of capitalism was wrong. As an outcome of this reactionary step, the school of communism was founded and grown. Now from the Dialecticism of both of these systems, a new synthesis has arisen. The simple name of this synthesis is Naturalism. All the wise connoisseurs and onlookers of the world know that a struggle is inherent in the natures of capitalistic and communist countries. The new system will find its birth due to this conflict only and we foresee that new system will be Naturalism.

We must clearly comprehend that the system of communism came into existence as a reaction against the flaw of transference of property to descendents and it has developed on the same understanding. At the time of the first world war when the communist revolution was held in Russia, the European countries were at war with one another but the warring seventeen nations became one in attacking Russia but Russia remained undefeated. In the second world war also Russia was attacked but it won by high merit. It is clear by the history of the twentieth century that the effect of communistic school of thinking is increasing day by day.

Now the champions of the capitalist system shall have to agree to this supreme truth that communism cannot be fought by force,

fight, force of money, bribery or diplomacy or by spying. It can be brought to terms only if the capitalists practice social heirship in the place of transferring all their property to their descendents.

Mahatama Gandhi who was a Messenger of Truth and Non-violence clearly warned the capitalists that if they do not let the able persons have a right, a say, the financial sphere, a bloody revolution is the definite consequence (as sure as waters flowing downwards).

If the capitalists do not adopt naturalism, the communists will destroy them. Knowing this truth, the followers of Godly Capitalism will automatically come forward in elaboration of naturalism. The confused capitalists, after clearing their minds, will join the mainstream of naturalism.

CLEARING THE DOUBTS OF THE INTELLIGENT AND THE CONFUSED CAPITALISTS

Various questions may arise in the minds of the intelligentsia and also the confused capitalists about the organization of the society when the philosophy of naturalism shall be enforced. May be this small book does not answer them all. Some important questions asked by the members of the two groups cited above and their answers are being given. But if any curious person wants to know the answer of any more questions, he/she is requested to contact by post and we shall be obliged in answering to him/her.

Question: If someone leaves debts instead of wealth in heirship, who shall return the debt?

Answer: The chief aim of naturalism is to avail every child of equal opportunities of development. So, if loan is received in heirship, it would hinder the children's normal growth. So, for the

sake of the welfare of the society, the donor must consider it gone. There is a proverb: displaying/showing this feeling: "Neki kar Darya mein daal". If you did something good to others, forget it.

On reading this philosophy it will be clear that in Naturalist society, the economic responsibilities of all the children's Education, Medical Treatment, etc., shall be borne by the SDPO. The State shall guarantee employment to each and every person. Lazy shirkers asking for loans by habit are a load on the society. Such people should not be pitied on or sympathized with.

In the naturalist society, the upper limit of wealth permissible for transference to an heir shall be equal to the cost of one kilogram of gold. Those who have a lot more money than this and if they consider that the seeker for loan is actually wise and laborious but has come to a state of poverty by the stroke of bad luck. They can loan him a sum considering it their social responsibility. As long as the receiver is living, the donor must have all the legal rights of charging his money back from him. If those people who have a lot of money don't use it for such works, after their death, their children will get a portion according to the upper limit legally permissible. The rest of their wealth shall be used for the benefit of the society only. Due to this pressure on the wealthy people, it will not be difficult for the laborious people struck by bad luck to get loans. But the dull witted and idle people will not get any. This feeling is seen in immortal poet Rahim's expression:

Rahiman ve nar mar gaye, je kahun mangan jaye
Unse pehle ve muve, jin much niksai nai.

Rahim says that those people who go out to seek alms are dead but those are dead even before them who say no to them.

Question: If heirship is limited, the capitalists will not take interest in making capital. Then from where will capital for industries come?

Answer: Compulsory Deposit Plan shall be enforced in the society. Every person shall deposit a portion of his income in the Government Bank (the portion can be decided by the expert economists through discussion). The depositor shall get interest according to the market rate. This capital, the owner cannot spend in his life time. On his death, the capital and the interest shall be inherited by his children. But in any case a child will not get more sum than the price of one kilogram of gold. Thus after a few generations, each person shall be owning capital worth one kilogram of gold and he can maintain himself by its interest only.

Suppose now-a-days twenty per cent Indians, i.e., 20 crore people are working. The rest are women, children and old people. Among the incomes of these 20 crore people, the majority of people's incomes are very low and the minority's are very high. By a rough calculation, we may suppose that every person during his life will deposit Rs.30,000 in the bank under compulsory deposit plan. Then all the Indians will deposit $\text{Rs.}30,000 \times 2,00,00,000 = \text{Rs.}6,00,00,00,00,00,00,00$, i.e., six thousand crore of rupees. This huge sum will be deposited in the Reserve Bank of India. Government will sell all its industries to the public. Its current value is 6 lakh crore. Thus 6 lakh crore is enough to finance industry in the beginning. As per need, per capita deposit can be increased or decreased.

Except the Reserve Bank, all other banks shall be de-nationalized and their shares shall be sold among the public. Reserve Bank will give these non-government banks loans on commercial terms and these banks will give the society loans on commercial terms.

Under naturalist system each child of a wealthy family will get wealth worth two lakhs of rupees. If ten such children put their wealth together, it will make the sum of Rs.2,00,000, which is a magnificent sum to start a Private Limited Company or Cooperative Committee. They can start an industry or business. If they need more capital, they can get from commercial banks on commercial terms. The children of poor families who have not got any money from their parents, also shall be very minutely studied by the banks. Their characters at the time of their school and college shall have to be searched. If they have ever been truthful, have virtues of leadership, can be loaned money without mortgage. With a view of safety of the bank money till the refunds of all the bank's money, any staff member of the bank - he may be the watchman or an accountant - can go and keep watch regularly. When T.P.D. will come to end all the children will get capital like this only. This arrangement will gradually create such human society in which each person will get money equivalent to one kilogram of gold and he/she will do business by his/her own money. He/she will not need money from the Bank to start business.

Question: By limiting heirship, progress will become stagnant. What will happen then?

Answer: We must clearly understand the meaning of progress. According to naturalism, a person can earn as much money as he likes. He may expand his industry and business, he may deposit or spend his earnings, he is free to do all this. He only cannot grant his children more money than the fixed limit. The person who thinks about the welfare of his family only and does not care for the welfare of the society, he may sell his industry, his business - other persons will run them.

Question: Then who will run industries and businesses, etc.?

Answer: Imagining that the capitalists run the industries and business is wrong. Big industries and business are not run by the capitalists but by the "workers" named the Managers, Executives and Directors, etc. They only have the technical know how, commercial expertise and approach in the market. Godly capitalists and confused capitalists are workers themselves. But the devilish capitalists are only the owners of the capital. They are not laborious. They only suck the blood of the society like leach. Such anti-social capitalists, if withdrawn from economic sphere after their monopoly is over, after some difficulties in the period of transforming the economic progress of the society, will be geared up.

Question: In the economic rivalry of the world, can naturalism compete with capitalism?

Answer: As the man's individuality is negligible in comparison with the cosmos, in the same way capitalism is negligible before naturalism. If such a person leads the country who knows all the secrets of naturalism, then the victory/success of that country is certain not only in economic but also other spheres. We hope that the doubts of the intelligentsia and the confused capitalists must have cleared up. But if they have any more doubts, they must contact us by post or see us personally. We feel assured that after their minds are clear, they shall engage themselves in the work of making the naturalists movement a success.

THE SPIRITUALLY INSPIRED OBJECTIVE FORM OF THE NATURALIST ECONOMIC PHILOSOPHY

As yet clues have been given about the subjective form of natural economic philosophy. The clues of its spiritually inspired objective form are in the next ten pages. To understand them, it is advisable for the readers to study the 1st part of the natural philosophy, the Dharm Darshan (The Philosophy of the Religion) and other literature about the spiritual science.

SOME FACTS OF NATURE THAT MUST BE KNOWN AND PONDERED OVER BY DEVILISH CAPITALISTS

Death is a certainty and a person does not take wealth along with him. Whoever has taken birth is sure to die. According to his will, Alexander the Great's dead body was taken round his capital city and behind it was displayed the wealth he had won through various wars. The public saw both of his palms open. He could not take any thing with him. Those people who have money enough to fulfill their needs but entangle themselves in anti-social activities are the greatest fools.

THE WEALTH LEFT FOR DESCENDENTS RUINS THEIR LIVES

Human history bears witness time and again that those people who accumulated a lot of wealth and handed it over to their descendents, hardly lived to see their children spoilt and ruined. The empires of Alexander and Chengez Khan met their end and now no one knows their descendents. The descendents of the great Mughal Empire drive rickshaws and horse carts in the city of Lucknow in India. The once undefeatable English empire in which the Sun never set is now confined on a small island. The boundless wealth left for children acts like poison for them and causes their destruction.

47

THE THIRD WORLD WAR MAY ABOLISH ALL LIFE ON EARTH

If a third world war is fought, atomic bombs shall be used in it. The number of atomic and hydrogen bombs has reached over fifty thousand. Their power of explosion is 20 Arab TNT (20,00,00,00,000). It is 16 lakhs of time more powerful than the bombs thrown on Hiroshima in 1945. On the beginning of third world war, all life on earth shall be abolished. In a war first of all big cities shall be attacked where the whole class of industrialists live. When a war threatens our lives, why should money be boundlessly accumulated?



MANKIND'S EXISTENCE AND LIFE SPAN ARE NEGLIGIBLE IN COMPARISON TO THE UNIVERSE *These inheritance loses its significance.*

The devilish capitalists make plans and plots for a small piece of land, for a factory or workshop day and night. These are very tiny pieces of the earth. The Sun is 13 lakh times greater than the Earth in its size. In the universe, there are Stars which are crores of times bigger than the Sun. Recently a new Star AUZOR has been discovered by the astronomers.

About this the astronomers say that it sends off as much amount of light in a second as the Sun does in one crores of years. To calculate its size, we shall have to calculate the number of seconds in one crore year. The number derived shall be the number to be multiplied by the size of the Sun. It appears to be small to us because of its distance from the Earth.

This cosmos of ours is so big that numbers fall short to measure it. According to Indian philosophy there are six more cosmoses. Among them what is our Earth? So we must not consume all our

48

energies in the accumulation of wealth but in the welfare of the society by spending our lives according to the natural philosophy.

✓ 50 THOUSAND PLANETS HAVE DEVELOPED MORE ADVANCED CIVILIZATIONS THAN THE EARTH *Therefore inheritance is incorrect as we are still a backward civilization.*
 In cosmos visible to us there are creatures different from human beings on thousands of planets. Their civilization and scientific knowledge is far more advanced than mankind. According to scientists, there are at least 50 thousand such planets. We are stating a few facts from the books written on this subject.

(1) According to Professor Hover of the University of California on the Mars and the Jupiter, there are 25 cities flying in the air. This is possible that they send flying discs on the earth. On the Jupiter also there is such atmosphere where life is possible.

(2) In the world renowned books of Karl Sango proofs of life on various planets have been given. His chief works are – the “Dragons of Eden”, “Brochas Brain” and “Cosmos”.

(3) The world renowned astronomers Constantine Ridin has taped 7200 cries wandering in the space. The sound of these currents of words is like the shouts and noise of earthly creatures.

(4) The Secret of the Sound Beep-Beep

The sound clues of Beep-Beep are received on earth from the space, at the interval of 3.16 seconds. They are of the same kind as given on the radio and the TV before news. BBC also sends off such sounds. The scientists guess that the intelligent residents of some planets are trying to contact us by sending these sounds regularly.

(5) The maps of the Dead Sea and the Mediterranean area – in the library in Berlin

In the beginning of the 18th century, Naval Admiral P.T. Keis of Turkey found it somewhere. In this map, the Dead Sea and the Mediterranean Areas have been clearly marked. In accuracy it matches the picture taken by the satellite cameras. This map appears to be a picture taken from a planet by a very powerful camera.

In the 18th century, mankind's efficiency in this field was not developed to this extent so the scientists guess that the travelers to earth from another planets slipped this map here.

✓ (6) The Tiahun civilization of Peru

The excavations of Mohan Jo-Daro and Harappa found in India, or River Nile in Egypt and some in China are not over six thousand years old. So, we can claim to be introduced to the civilizations of six thousand years back only. But in South America, in the country Peru there is a district Tiahun at the height of 13,000 from the surface of the sea. At this height, Oxygen happens to be so thin in quantity that no physical toil is possible. But there have been found the excavations of a city of six thousand years back. Its boundary has been made by placing stones weighing sixty tons over the stones of 100 tons. The surface of these stones is so smooth that there is no roughness measurable. In this city only there is a 24 feet high and weighing 20 tons, a statue made of one stone only. There are pictures of secret signs on the statue as well as the boundary.

The great H. Wallacy and P. Allen jointly wrote a book the Great Ideal of Tiahunki describing the construction work in this city, which was published in 1959. In this book they have given proofs and illustrations to elaborate that this city was architected by the

beings of the space. The astronomic figures and clues are engraved in the boundary, on the statue and other remnants.

(7) The sculptural Art of the island Easter

2500 miles from Chile (a country in South America) there is a continent Easter. Other lands from this island are at the distance of 2,000 miles. There hardly 200 savage people live. On the Easter island, there are so many fine sculptures, statues which are 66 feet high and 50 tons in weight. There the lava of volcanoes is lying in the form of stones, who cut it? How were the heavy statues made? Who engraved the minute designs in this hard stone? According to the books, "AKU WAKU", "Chariots of the Guide" and "the Guide from the Outer Space", the residents of some other planets came to earth and made these master pieces in sculpture.

(8) The graves of the residents of space on China, Tibet border

Surprising graves have been found on China Tibet border in the caves of mountains in straight lines in 1938. The skeletons dug out had very big heads and small bodies. Peking's Professor Usnam Antui wrote an article in the Soviet magazine "Sputnik". He said that some beings came to the Earth about twelve thousand years back. Their craft's machine had some disorder here which they could not repair. They tried to make friends with the residents of the earth but did not succeed. These graves are their remnants only. Near the graves some records and pictures on the rocks verify this truth.

NOTE: Mankind has ever been fighting because of the wrong philosophy of transference of property to the descendents. How can it make friends with the residents of space?

5/12

(9) The Secret of Flying Discs

A few days back flying discs of a special kind were the center of discussions.

Charles Verlitz and William Moore found facts about it and presented them in their book "The Suzwell Incident" in detail. He writes that on 7th July 1940, at 7 p.m., a space craft collapsed in the area near New Mexico. In its rubble the dead bodies of some such creatures were found which were totally different from human beings. Their heads were round like balls, eyes were small, the pupils of the eyes were bulged and there was no hair on their bodies. They were wrapped in grey coloured cloth. This spacecraft was made of some metal which looked like cloth but did not get dints even at the blows of a hammer. Its central part was like a disc with the circumference of thirty feet and the rubble and the corpses were scattered in the area of one and a quarter square miles, all this was seen by the residents of that area in reality.

As soon as the American authorities got this information, they picked up all the rubble and the corpses and took it away (and this information is kept a secret by the government).

(10) A glimpse of Anti-Universe

The invisible (Plantonic) world is the soul of this world. Its explanation, prosperity and resources are so big that this visible world can be called a negligible portion of that one. There, a greater quantity of water is present in the form of vapour in the atmosphere than all the water accumulated here. Similarly more solid objects are flying in the air than all the solids making this earth.

Scientists have discovered the existence of one another world, which is undetectably profoundly attached to this one. It is minute in efficiency and expansion so it is senior to the visible world. It is named anti-universe. Now its powers, authority and capabilities are critically discussed in detail. Its rays of energy from an invisible area have been science. Rays like Ether, laser are of the same area.


Science has discovered that the north pole draws the unseen donations coming to the earth from the space (other planets) and the South Pole throws the unseen excreta in the space invisibly. These unseen donations are very important for the residents of the earth. On the earth valuable gifts are pouring upon from the space all the times and we are benefited by them. The scientists are inexhaustibly searching for their secrets. On this earth, there are numerous kinds of creatures besides men. But the number of un-bodied creatures which are wandering in the space is still more. Here we are not referring to insects, viruses and germs but the minute body holders who are living in the condition of haunting spirits. Some time they were visible creatures and are going to adopt the same kind of form.

Spirits, ghosts, ginnies, devils, gods, angels and evil spirits are such creatures, which though have no bodies but have authority and energy. The Indian Purans describe them in detail.

(11) A glimpse of Indian Spiritualism

The Indian people know a lot about spiritualism. Here some guidelines are given for foreigners. There are seven stages of a creature's consciousness in his spiritual development:

53.

- 
- (1) Physical body
 - (2) Etheric body
 - (3) Astral body
 - (4) Mental body
 - (5) Spiritual body
 - (6) Cosmic body
 - (7) Bodyless body

Upto whatever limit a person develops his consciousness (by concentration). In the same proportion his capabilities are enhanced. This is described in brief. For detailed knowledge the readers must study spiritual books.

- (1) Physical Body - This body is made of several elements. The soul bears this body since birth. Only physical senses are developed on this stage among all the creatures of the world with the exception of human beings which have the capability to pierce the thick layer and enter higher stages of consciousness.
- (2) Etheric Body - starts to develop in a human being since the age of two years through the medium of feeling – my own, other persons's, attachment, malice – when pains and pleasures are felt in the incidents like meeting and departing. With the advancement of the years, these feelings are matured into wishes, ambitions and sensitivities.
- (3) Astral Body - Depending on/variable by culture and civilization, this consciousness starts developing in the adolescence. Feeling of sex, attraction for the opposite sex, clarity of ideas are the attainments of this stage of consciousness. Most of the people's progress is banned at this stage in the process of earning their livelihood and rearing their children. Physical feelings, passionate

sensitivities and practicable behaviour make up the structure of an average person of this stage. He may be a forester or a citizen of the modern civilized society, most of the people belong to this stage. To attain the stages of higher consciousness, a person has to make concentrated efforts.

- (4) Mental Body – This stage can also be called the etheric body of the talented, poets, artists, saints, scientists and thinkers wander in the world with this feeling (of mental body) only. There is no controversy about the fact that in a nation where there are majority of such people, important and meaningful ideological movements take place as each one of them sends off his own light. All the physical achievements in every field are the result of his mental consciousness.
- (5) Spiritual Body – is developed by deep concentration and unflinchingly gaining height by solemn and virtuous efforts. On reaching this stage, a man can do the impossible looking deeds which are called miracles. The people who attain this stage are called “SIDH”. They view the incidents of past and future also like those of the present time.
- (6) Cosmic Body – On attaining the sixth stage, a person is known by the name of a saint. His conscience is brimming with godliness who thinks only about giving to the society, who is always feeling the presence of the omnipresent. He is known by the name “GOD”.
- (7) Bodyless Body – is the highest stage of human consciousness attaining which all the primary attachment with the body are eliminated. He is directly linked with the GOD. He reaches the status of God. Such people are

known as God. The person of this stage has no personal wish or ambition. When the society starts straying from the nature's way, the nature allows this stage to a human being and brings the society on the right (Natural) path. It has happened several times in the history of mankind – Lord Krishna gave this message to Arjuna in Gita: Whenever the religion starts declining and impropriety is increasing, I take birth. In every era I take birth for the protection of good people and destruction of the evil ones.

THE CORRECT WAY OF LIVING FOR THE CAPITALISTS

It should be clear to the Godly, the devilish and the confused capitalists that the correct way of living for them is living according to the rules of nature. They must have full freedom of earning money but they must not grant all their wealth to their own children but to the whole of the society. Atharva Ved says: O Man, you earn with a hundred hands, but be donating with a thousand hands. Magnify the deeds you have done and those that you have yet to do.

But we must not donate to an unknown person or institution. Money should be donated after a strict checking because now a days there are a lot of fake, deceitful and idle persons and institutions who pretend to serve the society. So, if the capitalists do not find a proper person to be donated money to, they must spend it on the education and medical treatment of the children of the society or availing the means of employment to the honest and laborious unemployed youths.

Lord Krishna has said in Gita: Donation is pious which is given after checking the place, the time and the propriety of the taker, as a sense of duty and without expecting anything in return.

Increase in the proportion of fools in the society because of the wrong philosophy of Equal Rights:

Due to wrong philosophy of equal rights, the right to personal heirship, and consequently the tendency of boundlessly accumulating wealth, grew in the society. Then quarrels, fights and murders were held for the ownership of wealth and property. To stop this, the institution of state was formed which organized a police and an army for the maintenance of law and order.

In Police and Army, they admitted the nobler youth of the society and they had to work – living far away from their children, so the children could not be looked after properly and they could not grow into as able persons as they could.

The process of making able persons' offsprings worthless started since the Vedic era which is continuing up to now. The consequences are that the standard of the ability of the whole human society is falling and its decline is imminent. Its ill effects are written below:

ILL EFFECTS IN VARIOUS FIELDS OF THE PHILOSOPHY OF EQUAL RIGHTS

The ill effects of the philosophy of equal rights are present in various fields of human life.

Philosophy is the distillate of entire human knowledge, as the distillate of rose is its essence. The aim of this small book is to indicate to the human society about his destination and course. Now it is clear that the tendency to boundlessly accumulating wealth is born out of the wrong philosophy of transfer of property to descendents. The wealth which a man, not using leaves for his descendents, gives birth to thousands of vices.

5.7.

The devilish capitalism has based the society on the wrong philosophy of equal rights, so the structure of society has come to be upside down. A detailed criticism of this fact is in the following dimensions of the natural philosophy:

- (1) In the third dimension – Karma Darshan doctrine of Karma – and the wrong bases of marriage has been described and an outline of correct arrangements has been suggested.
- (2) In the fourth dimension – Moksha Darshan (Section I) – an outline of ABLEOCRACY has been given instead of present wrong philosophy of Democracy.
- (3) In the fourth dimension – Moksha Darshan (Section II) – the values of non-violence, pity, politeness, etc., have been de-masked.
- (4) In the Moksha Darshan (Section III), the Trick of the Devilish Capitalism has been explained. Communism and Socialism have been illustrated to be idle philosophies. Due to these corrupt philosophies, homosexual relationship is being accepted by the law – ways of stopping these have been suggested.
- (5) In the Moksha Darshan (Section IV), a correct philosophy and a plan of organizing the society according to it have been presented, which is based on principles of Dharma (Religion). They have been explained in First Dimension of Natural Philosophy, called Dharma Darshan, i.e., Philosophy of Religion.

If these vices in the basic thinking in the philosophy are not corrected and the society is not organized according to them, after this black period.

59.

It is not clear in the text whether the philosophy are not
connected and the source is not repeated according to them after
the black cloud

→ Astha. - 13th Feb. (Dixit)
6:00 am Swabhimah Trust.
8:00 am pm.